

+

+

INESCAPABLE CONSEQUENCES OF DEFECTIVE REASONING

BY:

GEORGE P. STAVROPOULOS
B.Sc., M.Sc., D.I.C., Ph.D.

ATHENS
2011

+

+

+

+

+

+

+

+

INESCAPABLE CONSEQUENCES
OF DEFECTIVE REASONING

+

+

+

+

+

+

+

+

INESCAPABLE CONSEQUENCES OF DEFECTIVE REASONING

BY:

GEORGE P. STAVROPOULOS
B.Sc., M.Sc., D.I.C., Ph.D.

ATHENS
2011

+

+

+

+

© 2011 by George P. Stavropoulos.

ISBN: 978-960-9624-00-8.

All rights reserved. Except for legitimate quotations for the purposes of analysis, criticism, research, and the advances of knowledge, this book may not be reproduced in part or in whole in any form by any means whatsoever including photographic electronic, mechanical, photocopying or recording, nor be made available by any information storage and retrievable system intending commercial profit without prior permission in writing from the author.

Inquiries should be addressed to the author:
45 Sporadon St. Athens 11361, Greece.

© 2011 by George P. Stavropoulos.

ISBN: 978-960-9624-01-5.

The electronic presentation of this publication in the Internet is intended *solely for the free strictly personal information of its users*. Should the latter feel they owe something, let it be to their favorite true charity.

Special Pre-publication Edition.
Self-published in 2011.
Corrected as needed in 2011.

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication Data:

Stavropoulos George P., 1934—
INESCAPABLE CONSEQUENCES OF DEFECTIVE REASONING.
1. Logic, 2. Philosophy, 3. Reality, 4. Religion, 5. Science.
I. Title.

Text set principally in Times New Roman.
Written & Set in Greece.

+

+

+

+

5

To them all that *sincerely* wish to know
all that truly ontologically was of impotence in the "Beginning":
Not this or that *imagined* "Word", that as such *is* an effect,
but *the Perfect Cause called Λόγος*.

+

+

+

+

+

+

INESCAPABLE CONSEQUENCES OF DEFECTIVE REASONING

A

Reader, just look *honestly* for once at the present state of our world, while *constantly* bearing in mind the indisputable flow of action and events from cause to effect. What we today observe is the consequence of what we did yesterday. Despite appearances, and our "reasoning" in terms of supposedly "cyclical" operations in the "economy" that *unreasonably* above *all else* controls our lives, the cycles are never closed; each one leaves behind its own indelible mark that plays distinctly in shaping the tomorrow. So, wherefrom must we start examining the where we now stand? I suggest we start from examining the precise meaning of the words we use, especially the totally confused meaning of words borrowed from the Greek, the roots and etymology of which remains unknown to most, and thus their meaning is indeed far removed from the true one, and each one of us understands what he says, reads and hears as *he* thinks the meaning of the word to be. It is a terrible perversion of the Truth to think *perversely*, under the "guidance" of renowned professors and Nobel laureates no less, that the "economy" is solely about money! It is about the *totality* of νόμων (= laws) [whence the -nomy in economy derives] that determine the *proper* operation of the οἴκου (= house) [whence the eco- in economy derives], whether the "house" be one's own, or one's country, or the "world" on earth, or even it *cannot but indeed be the entire* physical universe!

It *must* at long last be admitted that the quality of reasoning of our professors, matched by their so constricted vision of the World, of which their understanding of the "economy" is only a *small* part, falls *far short* of what is truly needed! They have split themselves into "schools": Some are "theists", some are atheists, some are polemical atheists; some are "agnostics" *unable* to decide what to believe, yet they "teach", obviously what they *cannot* decide whether it is true or false; some are "NeoDarwinians" believing in evolution operating under natural selection as a powerful force of nature: they *ignore* that Darwin *never* abandoned belief in God as they have, as well as that natural selection does *not* operate in the inanimate world the specifically determined structure of the components of which is *not* subject to *any imaginable sort* of natural selection; some are "naturalists" defining "naturalism [a]s the philosophical theory that treats science as our most reliable source of knowledge and [the] scientific method as the most effective route to knowledge", while conveniently ignoring that "science" *as practiced today* is hardly more than an activity built on the *pure belief* that its assumptions and theories *are and*

must be treated as quasi-sacrosanct and their foundations not to be questioned, lest the whole edifice of our "knowledge" collapse; and there may well be other, supposed respectable, "schools" that now escape me.

When we dare expand the limits of "economy" to encompass the *entire* physical universe, we see at once that *other factors also* play a crucial part in the development of things, and not just, if ever, the contents of our own pocket! Do you really think that we, each one of us, are much too small to really matter in the matters of the universe? Think again: *Everything* we do disturbs the proper balance of the physical universe; and the universe, in order to retain its proper balance, *must and does* react! How immeasurably fast? "Science" has *never yet* considered, but *is* elsewhere explained (see: <http://www.thefreegpslibrary.edu.gr/01.%20%20PRINCIPIA%20PHYSICA%20UNIVERSI.pdf>, on book page 228). When the entire universe *is* so constructed, even this physical hard fact *must* be explained how it *is* so, *if* nobody so determined! *If any human can* demonstrate that *he, a mere "speck", truly is* his own cause, *only then* can he at all proceed to state that even the entire universe has been its own cause, given *all* the facts already known about it, *including those indisputably stated in the above website, that conspiratorially are suppressed and not discussed* since 1986, because they indeed have brought down the *supposed* to be still standing "scientific" edifice! ***Unless we consider correctly the Indisputable First Cause of everything that is, our "reasoning" shall continue to be defective!!!***

B

Considering together *all* the above, we find ourselves duty bound once more to pay our respects to Heracleitos, for having stated "Though this Logos—this fundamental law—existeth from all time, yet mankind are unaware of it, both ere they hear it and in the moment that they hear it" (see: *The Greek Thinkers*, by Theodor Gomperz, Vol. 1, First Published 1901, Seventh Impression 1964, p. 74); of which it has been a *later repetition*, more qualified but not in the least crystal clear as we shall see below, the opening phrase of the Gospel according to St. John: "Ἐν ἀρχῇ ἦν ὁ Λόγος, καὶ ὁ Λόγος ἦν πρὸς τὸν Θεόν, καὶ Θεὸς ἦν ὁ Λόγος"; that ever since theologians were involved and translations began has been totally misunderstood and *is being mistranslated* as "In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God", or as "Im Anfang war das Wort, und das Wort war bei Gott, und Gott war das Wort".

Surely, not unjustly was Heracleitos called the "obscure philosopher": It was much too early that he could be exact as to what the word Λόγος truly meant; the crystal-clear understanding of what is *the person* and *the non-person* had *not* yet been achieved; even as in Greek grammar, there already was a *clear* distinction of subject and object as only in Greek can best be put down. How powerful *the person* and *the non-person* distinction was and how far it extended had yet to be understood, as it indeed still has as shall be shown below. Also, the distinction of the purely spiritual from the material had not yet been clearly drawn. Of these, the last distinction began with Plato conceiving the *Perfect*

Ideas that as such *can and must* be understood as *spiritual* entities. To these we are first referred by the *material πράγματα* (= things), that exactly on account of their material nature *cannot* be any more perfect than they already are. Ideas are *conceptions*, call them denizens of the mind, even those that such as an engineer, sculptor, or painter first conceives in his mind, and only then does he proceed to construct an as functional as possible *material* representation of them, that alone can stand for them in the material world! We all have ideas in our minds, but not all of them can be represented as material objects; the vast number of them remain in our minds and are of the *unequal* quality in which each one of us can conceive them. *This* recognition led Plato to propose the existence of ideas of the highest possible universal quality, the *Perfect Ideas*, that exactly for the reason of their perfect nature are *not* denizens of the human mind, yet for the fact that they too are ideas, *there must somewhere be a Perfect Mind where alone they too can and must exist*. Perfection is itself a *difficult* Idea, one which "pragmatists" are all too quick to dismiss as non-existent, exactly because belief in it exacts a *spiritual* price the "pragmatists" are unwilling to meet; even in the face of the fact that marketers and marketeers are in constant competition with each other in their constant effort to serve us, *for a price*, products *at least of supposedly* superior quality! This process *cannot* go on ad infinitum. Though intellectually conceived, infinity is *not* an intellectual but a *purely mathematical* concept, nor is it a physical, and *only questionably* a spiritual, one. So, too, Perfection is achievable, which makes it *finite*, rather than infinite and unachievable, pursuit.

C

Having started with the word Λόγος, taking it from Herakleitos, let us be totally clear about it: *Any* uttering of the mouth making sense in *any* language qualifies as *commonly shared* Λόγος, strictly and ideally *provided* that the listener takes it *as the speaker meant it*. However, the *real* question remains: Is it the mouth that produces the *meaning* that the sound produced by it conveys, or is the mouth simply following an *order* to take up such a form as to produce the sound intended to convey the *meaning* the words about to be uttered *are being desired to be understood* by the listener exactly as they are being intended by the speaker? Surely, nobody, especially a savvy politician minimally worth his salt, shall ever agree that he speaks solely by his mouth than by his mind! Then again, is the mind nothing other than the *material* brain, that solely supervises, and to the extent it can, controls both the automatic silent bodily functions *and*, as it also produces and issues them, the orders according to which the moving parts of the body including the mouth shall function? If the brain functions only so, then clearly, the *ideas* that the words pronounced convey are *not* denizens of the brain that is nothing other than matter and can only contain *material* entities. The *ideas* are *not* matter that alone can be studied physically and chemically, and they may *not* be conceived to be imprisoned in the brain matter any more than a prisoner in an isolation cell may be prevented from thinking as he pleases. Each language has its own grammar and sounds structure to which it responds. The

ideas *are universal*, though differently expressed in each language. Logic is *not* language dependent, and thus it is *not* dependent on the brain-mouth nerve connections; and *it is clearly misrepresented* when it is not delved deeply into but is being expressed carelessly, even though unknowingly, in *every* language lacking the tools for its exact expression, as well as when the tools it possesses are being improperly used. Rather, *Logic is the Universal Law of Total Mindfulness the honest, careful and self-respecting minds longingly seek always to obey!* With all this thus becoming crystal clear, it is now seen that we need to confess that we have yet to recognize and accept as a *hard* fact that we all *are also made of and possess*, each one of us one's own, *a spiritual self* that alone can be called ἐνδιάθετος (= innate) Λόγος, in which Λογική (= Logic) rules over the *spiritual* denizens of that *by no means less real though immaterial* realm. More simply, *we are not just only matter, but are also Spirit*, even as some of us try very hard indeed to deny it, unwilling as they are to deny their denying it, and in so doing, to deny the very instrument they too possess by which they too tackle the world of ideas, *except the part of the very existence of that world*, by calling the ideas emanations of matter; which they *steadfastly refuse to confess as denizens or emanations of their immaterial self, that only for being such can escape arrest by any material instrument* of their own devising that *would* prove their thesis!

D

Bearing in mind all the above and considering coolly and without preconceptions the structure of the Jn.1.1 sentence "In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and God was the Word" (which *is* the *exact* sequence of the corresponding words in the Greek original), these questions immediately stand out:

1. *Which* "beginning" is there being referred to?
 - 1a. Is the word "beginning" to be understood in terms of *some point* in ever flowing time?
 - 1b. If so, how indeed can we avoid mentioning, and still worse *ignore* what went on before?
 - 1b-i. What *clear* evidence, indeed, *unambiguous proof* do we have that "time", as we *think* we "understand" it, has never begun but has always been, rather than that *it truly is an instituted physical quantity of the created universe*, in other words, nothing other than its age???
 - 1c. Is it not inexcusable that we do as in 1b, when referring to the Holy God???
 - 1d. Is it not then much more preferable, considering the 1b, *not* to ignore what went on before, and understand *not* some thus left undefined "beginning", but the *never begun but always having existed Absolute* Ἐξουσίαν, that is *also and principally meant* by the Greek word Ἀρχή, (see below), that *is being mistranslated* as "beginning"?
2. Beginning of *what* is it to be understood?
3. *Which specific word* is it being referred to as *the Word*?
4. How was it that *that word, now written as the Word*, alone was with God?

5. Was *the Word* just God (i.e., one among many), or *the one only true* God?

5a. By what license do we dare call the Lord Jesus Christ "Word"???

And then:

6. Considering the options available under each of the above five headings, what indeed are the chances that, *without* our devoting *all* our Διάνοιαν (= Intellect), we can pick out *all, and only the, correct* options???

7. So, how can it be that *the correct Theology can be built*, to which *we all can, unfailingly persuaded by Logic, subscribe to*?

8. So, how can it have escaped the Holy God that with so many ambiguities, *if indeed such are at all built in* [please, pardon me O Lord for the *seemingly implied* blasphemy that I do *not* intend!], *we cannot* avoid being confounded?

9. And thus finally, is it not far far much more likely that the Holy God did *not* miss anything, and the fault *is solely ours* for not having delved deeply enough into the *true etymological meaning of each Greek word in the original text, that would wipe out all* of the above ambiguities???

How that can be done will be tried below and what it obtains shall be shown. But before that, one must consider that for long centuries have people been led to believe that firm belief "is" beyond reasoning; which is *very* difficult indeed to reverse. Thus, they choose this or that by *psychological* inclination, family tradition, or desire to be with the majority in/of their work environment or community, or even with what passes as being in vogue. Anyhow, it cannot be doubted that the absence of clear reasoning has affected the quality both of belief *and* unbelief. How much it has increased the number of believers in this or that option is more difficult to assess. Generally, people are more inclined to decide to reject belief in the opposite option as a matter of what seems to them to be easier, or more nakedly profitable, whether in the pocket, or in the formal social standing, or in the conscience over the short or long run to be inclined toward, *not* as a matter of failure to find answers through an agonizing search.

E

Consider the original Greek sentence "Ἐν ἀρχῇ ἦν ὁ Λόγος, καὶ ὁ Λόγος ἦν πρὸς τὸν Θεόν, καὶ Θεὸς ἦν ὁ Λόγος." Ἀρχὴ does not just mean only "beginning"! It also and much much more strongly means ἐξουσία (= authority), and as it is here implicitly combined in the self same word with the "beginning" it means the *Absolute* Ἐξουσία (= Supreme Authority & Sovereignty), that as such had had no beginning but *had always been*, or else the meaning is rendered incomplete as it leaves us wondering as to what existed before, that *must* be seen to be an *implicit blasphemy* to associate it at all with the Holy and Perfect God! The Holy God *never* fools or deceives! *All ambiguities are due to our own careless reasoning and expression!* The word Ἀρχὴ relates *directly* to the active verb ἄρχω (= ἐξουσιάζω = I am *the* Authority); the present tense masculine participle of which is ἄρχων (= ἐξουσιαστής = *the* Imperious Authority); the passive of which is ἄρχομαι ὑπό (= I am subject to). The word Ἀρχὴ relates *indirectly* to the *derived* verb ἀρχίζω (= I begin), the *true* middle voice of

which is ἀρχομαι (e.g., narrating) ἀπό, meaning I begin with/from.

Thus, what was ἐν ἀρχῇ could *never* have been the *product*, the *effect* of an active subject (as the word is the effect of the cause/speaker uttering it), but the *active subject*, the *cause* itself! Therefore, Λόγος was *not* the "Word" that is only the *product* of a talking subject, but the talking subject Himself, that is the *conscious self* of the Ἀϊδίου (= the *ever Being*) Reasoning Person in Whom alone Λογική (= Logic) holds *absolute* sway and makes Λόγος, i.e., the Ἀϊδίον (*ever Being*) Reasoning Person Perfect. The expression "καὶ ὁ Λόγος ἦν πρὸς τὸν Θεόν, καὶ Θεὸς ἦν ὁ Λόγος" can thus only be correctly understood as meaning that the Ἀϊδίον Reasoning Person and God *always were and are and shall be* nothing other than the self same truly invariant and invariable *spiritually substantive* Living Person. The expression "ἦν πρὸς" is most unusual in Greek (*if ever met elsewhere in Greek*) and literally means "was towards", while the verb (first person present) εἰμί, (first and third person past) ἦν, (inf.) εἶναι truly is the *categorical absolute* verb *not* just for "existing" (see and fully understand the etymology of "exist", relating to the Greek ἐξίστημι) but for *in and of itself and totally independent of anything* else *spiritual substantive* being, that *alone* fits God's Being! In the Septuagint there exists the expression, stated to be of God, "before anything else was, I am", that fully intones the Lord's *total* constancy, unchangeability and independence even from time, that further stresses the fact that God belongs in a category of being that "contains" only *Himself*, (not just Him, which c/would imply that category of being as something *external containing* God)!

The above discussion seems, yet only *seems*, to leave outside the thus constructed picture both the separateness (about which, see more below) and the incarnation, leading to the crucifixion, of the Second Person of the Holy Trinity. In this respect, we *must* understand that the Apostles/writers were *not* scholars of the Greek language and philosophy, and they may not be expected to have supplied us with everything that the still living(?) Church has yet to comprehend, even after two thousand years of *supposedly* active "Theology"! Neither may we dismiss lightly the more than mere suspicion that the picture of the Holy Trinity eventually formed *did adopt and adapt at least some* aspects of the Hebrew tradition and of the various Roman triumvirates. Further, that the Father sent His only begotten Son, eventually to be crucified, is in broad agreement with the Abrahamic act *seen as an obligatory* tradition of not hesitating, unquestioningly, to sacrifice Isaac. To our present-day sensibilities, that in pursuit of a cause a father should send his only begotten son to be murdered by a maniac lawless crowd speaks of unspeakable totally unbecoming a father loveless cruelty. Is it sane and pious of us to believe that God the Father loved *us* more than His own *inseparable from Himself* God the Son? Isn't that much much too arrogant of us, creatures essentially crawling the humus, so highly to think of ourselves, *pretending* to be pious? Do we not blaspheme the Lord, if in order that we escape the clutch, we silently let it be understood that God truly played a trick, knowing that He would soon resurrect His Son? We cannot but say that indeed we do, to the eternal shame of so-called "theologians", also *thus* having left our social matters to slide to their present state!

Moreover, the expression of the Creed "... the only begotten Son of God, begotten of the Father before all ages..." truly reveals an inadequate under-

standing of the character of time that has exacted a heavy price on the quality of Theology so far developed: the act of begetting unavoidably splits the time continuum into a *before* and an *after* the act sections; and this split *cannot* Logically have taken place "before all ages", as there could clearly *not* have been a before before *that* before! The only Logically possible escape out is to liken the relationship of the Father to the Son to the relationship of the ThinkingMind/*theReasoningFunction* to the MindExpressingMouth/*theCommunicatingFunction* as it exists in a *single wholesome* personality: *both* thinking and expressing, by their being properties, functions, operations of the same one person, fit fully the relationship of the Father and the Son as *together* constituting *One* Person; that, however, *is still incomplete* without *theSelf-inspiringFunction* of the whole Personality, that the Christians call the Holy Spirit as it functions as a member of the Holy Trinity. *Only thus*, i.e., with *all these three* functions *correctly balanced and lovingly co-operating for the sake of thus conceived and become achievable Good* is the *One* Person made Complete and Perfect: The "Father" Reasons. The "Son" Expresses the "Father". The "Holy Spirit" Inspires Itself and the Other Two! [It is obvious that if *any* of the three stands by oneself as in a triumvirate, he *would* be incomplete for lacking the properties of the other two, which is completely avoided by means of the above conception!] Thus it is (a) that the *conscious* sin against the "Holy Spirit" shall *never* be forgiven, because it is It/Him that inspires from *within*, *not from without* even God the "Father" forward towards Absolute Perfection and Holiness; and (b) that whoever has seen the "Son" *has indeed seen* the "Father"! The Lord Jesus Christ was the *only way* the *Complete Godhead* could appear on earth, and the seers of Him (the Lord Jesus Christ) could still survive intact, thus keeping their/our freedom to believe or not to believe, to act or not to act as *He* said; which freedom is, however, retained for a price, according to how Reasonably they/we made/make good use of it!

That the three Functions of the Holy Trinity have been called Persons, has had an advantage when the "Mystery" of the Godhead was presented to people still unable to comprehend how the Perfect God IS and operates. It also has got a very clear disadvantage as we saw above, if understood in still worse than the Abrahamic tradition of God the Father sacrificing His only begotten Son while Himself remained impassively aloof! The Lord Jesus Christ was *not* just the "Son" but the *entire Godhead* become incarnate for us to see Him *Complete* in *our* form, that *alone* we could understand, to *see* our Creator, to *cross eyes with* Him, who truly is nothing other than *our Father*, who willingly came to be crucified as a *man* by *our* hands, sensing *all* that pain for *our* sake, experiencing as a *man all that worse than any other kind of suffering that we ever devised*, just in order that *we* really see the abyssal depths to which we have thrown and are still throwing ourselves ever deeper; so that we, comprehending at very long last our *real* state *and* condition, desire and decide to start with Him assisting us the arduous, as it *must* be, ascent towards the Saving Light! Heaven may *not* be won just for nothing!!! He, the *Complete and Perfect God* became the sacrificial lamb, and only asked of us that we love each other! Brother, are your eyes still dry? Just look at us!!!

F

Thus: in the "beginning", i.e., *before the institution of physical time*, there indeed was the Perfect Personal Pure Spiritual Entity we call both God and Ultimate Cause. *He* created *all* that subsequently came into being, for a clearly seen and set objective. [If there still remains an objection in the minds of some, they only need consider the scientists and the science they produce: *Which* is the cause and which is the effect; they or it? Can it ever be the *science* that produced the scientists? Can *all* the professors *and* Nobel laureates putting their heads together prove it? That they cannot, isn't that proof that *principally by their minds they* built their labs and subatomic supercolliders, or do they truly believe themselves to be products of their own products? Where does insanity and incongruent "reasoning" stop???) Surely they used already available materials, present before even they existed! Herein lies the cardinal difficulty: it is the scientists that studied the material universe, and *they* found that it has an age of some 12 to 13 billion years, which shows that it has *not* always been; and it has been the strict, complete and correct dimensional analysis of "Newton's" Law of Gravitation that verifies their findings, even as it demolishes their twentieth century theories, as it is being shown in

<http://www.thefreegplibrary.edu.gr/01.%20%20PRINCIPIA%20PHYSICA%20UNIVERSI.pdf>,

<http://www.thefreegplibrary.edu.gr/07.%20%20UNANSWERED%20LAST%20LETTERS.pdf>,

<http://www.thefreegplibrary.edu.gr/08.%20%20OBSCURANTISM%20EXPOSED.pdf>,

and

<http://www.thefreegplibrary.edu.gr/09.%20%20VOICE%20THUNDERING%20IN%20THE%20WILDERNESS.pdf>,

challenged by which, they have not managed to produce even a whimper, but an all too audible gnashing of the teeth! Which further unquestionably shows that "before" some 12 to 13 billion years there was no matter at all! So also, is it not that only dragged by *misdirected* habit developed in *this* world we *impermissibly* as supposedly correctly reasoning scientists ask about what happened "before" some 12 to 13 billion years? How do we prove that at least "time" as we now sense it has always been and that it really is thus *other* than the age of the universe, rather than that it has really been co-created along with it? Before the creation of the universe *what could time measure*, and *how could it indeed be sensed at all by whom?*

Thus do we come to the real crux of the matter: With nothing material present "before" about some 12 to 13 billion years, and with the effect that we call the physical universe ever since present, *it is unquestionably pertinent* to ask for the Cause (which in Greek is *also* denoted by the word and the *entire* concept of Λόγος). It is the *effect* we observe as the *entire functioning physical universe* that introduces and suggests attention to, and our full respect for, the *Cause*, that humanity for untold centuries has innately sensed without any of our present knowledge and has called "God", and Plato impliedly as the "natural" yet purely *spiritual* home of the Perfect Ideas!

And thus do we finally come to the *only remaining* question of HOW the Cause, *obviously solely out of Himself* (as we are duty bound to say in the total absence of anything other, about which we know absolutely nothing) produced

the material world in all its completion, with matter (including light), time, energy, action, motion, all subject to the full gamut of physical laws already known and those still to be discovered. Physics and our entire science *must* thus yield to the Ultimate Cause's Omniscience and Omnipotence, that *alone could and did* supply the HOW (that *shall* remain beyond us in our present condition), as a result of which we all are here, doing what and why only He unquestionably fully knows! And so, despite *that last remaining* question, there is only one conclusion to be drawn that cannot but silence all honorable objection: As the scientists *are* the cause, and the science they produce *is* the effect, so too the Creator produced His creation and it is *not* the latter that dreams vainly of Him!

G

So, why did He create us? *Obviously*, in order to become His co-workers in building *together a still greater* Good! To make that possible, *we had to be equally free to do both Good and Evil, and by free choice to choose to do only Good!* If given the choice we did *only* Good *we too would become* little Gods! *Not in the least* a little accomplishment! Alas! We so abuse our freedom that on the Lord's balance, *we cannot* in the least be at all proud of ourselves!

Remember the *greatest of all suggestions* [*not* a commandment, that as such *would or could even ever so little* be seen as infringing upon our freedom, but *infinitely much rather, a friendly loving request*, than which none would or could be of still greater value!] *ever made to us*: "Love thy God with all with all thy love, all thy heart, all thy soul, all thy strength, all thy power, all thy intellect, and thy neighbor as thyself! We never asked ourselves the question: are the items of the first part arranged in ascending or descending order of importance? Or, perhaps, starting with the easiest to understand (as babies, in order to grow to become healthy adults *must* first of all be *loved*, love being the most understandable, by both adults and babies, of all those attributes!), *they all must be applied at their necessary maximum effectiveness* obtained with constant practice, just as body muscles are also built?"

He asked that we start with the proper sentiment coming from the heart, supported by the soul, both exercised with strength and power, all of them led *securely* forward by the intellect! *All* the former can, at least in principle, be somewhat understood. It is the latter that is not properly understood in the least! We all have heard the "God acts in mysterious ways", that suggests that we stand silently in awe before the incomprehensible, to which priests and "theologians" ever so often resort! By *that* they think they can escape the enormous difficulty they face that causes them to resort to this expression, totally forgetting, much more likely *ignoring* [*you choose how to understand this word*] the close association of the word "mysterious" with *pitch darkness*! So is the God of *Absolute Light*, Who fears *nothing* by *them* depicted to be hiding in darkness, wherefrom *He*, according to them, is making us wonder as to what is truly at issue! If there ever was a *misapplication and abuse of our intellect ever so lazily left undeveloped, by which misapplication and abuse so many are led directly to faithlessness*, the above statement proves it decisively! *They* have decided that

the Lord "cannot" be understood!!! *They never prayed fervently, insisently, persuasively* enough that He help them understand Him! *They* most of all forgot that He said "ask and it *shall* be given, knock and it *shall* be opened"! *They violently, abusively* divorced Theology from our intellect, by which The Lord can most easily and safely be approached and understood! *They* abandoned our intellect to the hands of such as today's "philosophers" "philosophizing" trivia, and to "scientists" literally wasting *both their minds and this planet's resources* that do *not* belong to them, in pursuits of *no spiritual or true practical* value, but in pursuits that both keep *them* busy *and* filling the pockets of those that produce the ever more expensive tools of "research" that proves *nothing* solid and *only* seeks to support *suspiciously built and always doubtful* theories (see the Note on pp.18-21, below). Just think of the *true* benefits to the "human" race accrued by the race to be the first to get to the Moon; the *expensive* effort to find on it *at best very deeply frozen* water; the *enormous* expense for space stations and missions to the solar system's planets and beyond. Only *certified* lunatics may think of us destined for the stars, that keeps us searching the heavens for suitable habitats! Remember the first step of Neil Armstrong on the Moon: Only *due to thinking that walking there would be as it is here that his first step there was truly a jump, and he lost his balance!* Just imagine another planet of twice the surface area of this one, yet "*like*" this one. An excellent finding, you say? Just think again: Twice the surface area goes with increasing the volume to $2^{3/2}$ times, *and* increasing to at *least* $2^{1/2} = 1.4142$ times the weight of both *your present* mass on it and that of your bag [due to the *additional* compaction of the planet's matter due to self gravitation]! Any tree seeds you carry there shall grow to a tree *at most* $1/1.4142 = 0.7071$ its height here, because its trunk capillaries shall *not* be able to carry the juices *any higher!* On a planet of a radius 2.3 times the Earth's, the mass of you and of your bag shall weigh 2.3 times their present weight here, and your tree shall reach *at most* $1/2.3 = 0.4348$ its present height! If nothing else, these sobering thoughts *must* teach you that we are made to fit *only this planet, we cannot* live elsewhere! Have the "experts" *never* thought of these matters? Or do they, *purposefully with malign intent never mention* them to the plebs who foot the bill of their *pointless* activities? (The above lines here in blue recast, simplify and correct the somewhat *confusing and incorrect* original statements.)

To support *us while here*, i.e., before we depart this *material* cosmos, God *had* to create the *entire physical universe!* Without it, the Earth very simply *cannot* exist as we know it! If you still ask how God enters the picture, you need only consider that the universe is *only* 12-13 billion years old, meaning that it did *not* exist before that time, meaning that it did *not* always exist, meaning that it could *not* have been self-created, as we know *not, nor can we at all understand the concept of any physical cause that also is its own effect!* We totally fail to understand the concept of *physical, measurable* time, if we think of it as having always been and shall be eternal! *It too has been created, and as only such can it at all be measured, along with the rest of the physical universe, of which it is an inseparable part!* Without matter that in its entirety *is* a clock ticking, we could not create clocks, i.e., the means by which we measure the flow of time!

For *our* sake, "God *had* to create the *entire physical universe!*". Most definitely, God is *not* wasteful, He is *not* a miser either, but the *absolute* Economiser, from Whom nothing ever escaped! For His objective, *we were and*

are needed! And it is *only us!* The "scientists" do *not* have the all too manly fortitude to tell you of the unassailable findings of the dimensional analysis of the Law of Gravitation, that *disqualifies all their supposedly* still "standing" theories!: The Law of Gravitation leads from within it to the Law of the expansion of the universe out there that is functioning *only* under *constant* G (Newton's *true* constant of gravitation) and M (the total mass of the universe). Expansion introduces perforce the concept of the velocity of light with which *necessarily* the front of the universe expands. But in order that the velocity of light be constant everywhere in the entire universe at any given time, the mass density in it must be a function of $\rho = r/R$, r being the radial distance of any point to the center of expansion and R the radius of the expanding universal front. It inexorably follows that, physical life as we know it is *not* possible everywhere in the universe, but only in the spherical shell in which this earth and galaxy belong. We do *not* have *any* evidence that this galaxy (let alone all other galaxies in the same spherical shell) supports elsewhere in it our kind of life. Nor is it at all useful to a universal cause for other intelligent kinds of life to exist with which the exchange of *meaningful* messages is not possible within a *useful to both* time span: If it takes, say, a thousand years to get back an answer to your "Hi there, how are you!", most definitely the answer *cannot* be useful to you, and most likely neither shall it be to your progeny a thousand years hence! It *is* meaningless to ask about the family or the weather! It *is* meaningful to ask *only* about *their* understanding of the meaning of the universe. But this requires that *both civilizations be totally sincere first with themselves on that very issue* before they can even think of starting a conversation, and that *necessarily* in a language they both command with ease, or else the "conversation" can only be meaningless and thus superfluous! Do you think that these and *all* ultimate-meaning-carrying considerations escaped the Demiurge?

In this entire physical universe we *are* alone! But this sets an *unconfessed* responsibility totally unbearable by all those bent on destroying each other on this planet whether by war, or "civilized" yet *naked* exploitation, or even *created purposeful* hunger! For them, sworn enemies of everlasting meaning, believers in the meaninglessness of everything, the only thing that carries "meaning" is the ever greater ease of their own personal, yet by their own free acceptance of it, *meaningless* life! Brother, you still *are* free to applaud them, be they "leaders", be they "professors", be they "artists", or shake your head and turn your back to them! By their plentiful offerings do you indeed know them, *if you have taken care to acquire the needed criteria!*

Alas, the vast majority of us chose and choose the easy way out to where we know not, rather than the *certainty* of Heaven guaranteed by the *Personal* Cause Himself. We decline the offer to become His *conscientious* co-workers in building together a *still greater* Good; choosing instead to pursue *cheap* objectives, *easily surpassable*, of *totally false* glitter, thereby exposing ourselves to the unbearable criticism of not choosing the Everlasting Value! Despite the *ancient* exemplars of how to pursue wisdom, despite the still standing ancient lighthouses in the darkness of the vast ocean of ignorance, despite even the mere sparks given us since for a better understanding of the Spiritual Cosmos, we *never* made consistent good use of them! We only *pretend* "admiring" them; we steadfastly *refuse* emulating them and leading on from where they left off! Thus:

"Theology" has become a *profession*,
 now openly more than ever before being corrupted and corrupting,
silently observing the slide of humanity to the abyss from the sidelines,
never thundering a *voice of divinely loving anger*
 in the face of the atrocious crimes we today maniacally commit,
 before which those of the past simply pale!
 And "philosophy" *is openly and shamelessly* self-set to serve
 the purposes of those that supply the funds
 on which today "philosophers" of practically every hue servilely subsist!
 While "science" provides all the necessary tools of destruction
 while fooling us with the means
 of longer lasting yet *more suffering* "purposeless" lives
 and objects and "findings" calling for our "I want it, too!" and our applause!
 They all resolutely refuse to give a thought
 to what ought long since to have been seen as a worthy goal
 for the existence of Humanity!

The all too clear picture of the *supposed* "wise"
 demonstrating themselves to be *the* most unwise!!!
 Blinded by their perceived as "sparkle", though it truly is *shame*,
 the observing masses keep on becoming ever blinder,
 unwilling and unable to see farther ahead!

The day the curtains shall fall to shut out the worthless showings
 on the same shameful stage all over the world
 is getting ever closer by the day!
 Dies Irae *is fast coming* upon us!

DO YOU OBJECT BROTHER?
 IF SO, BE KIND AND ANALYZE ALL THE ABOVE
 AND SHOW ITS *REAL, NOT ITS IMAGINED* WEAKNESSES!!!
TOGETHER SHALL WE ALL ASCEND –
 BY *OUR CHOICE* WHETHER TO BLISS OR BLAME!!!

N. B. Just as these thoughts were being put in the above final form, there came the news that the 2011 Nobel prize for Physics was awarded for the "finding" that the universe is *supposedly* expanding at an ever accelerating rate, "faster than expected"! So the question: Faster than expected based on a *solidly established physical law*, or faster than *theory and the suppositions on which it is based* had led some to believe? The news did *not* clarify this all too important point. Then, others speculated that the finding relates to the unseen "dark matter" out there beyond the seen matter that pulls the latter farther out faster than expected.

Let us just consider the possibilities under which the universe may expand at an ever accelerating rate: Consider a point in the universe at which all forces in it are at balance. That point *cannot* be other than the center of the universe, if it expands having started therefrom.

A particle at another point can be *considered* to be accelerating outward if the gravitation of all the masses *within* the sphere delineated by it is somehow weakened (e.g., by the density of matter within that sphere being or becoming less than so far thought, either as a result of the amount of matter within it being less than so far thought, or the radius up to that point being larger than so far thought), while the gravitation of the masses within the spherical shell *outside* said point is not, or is increased (e.g. as a result of the amount of matter within that shell being more than so far thought, or the thickness of that shell to the outermost front of the expanding universe being less than so far considered, thus pulling outwards said point faster than so far thought). But for anything of some such nature to happen, either an *additional* law *is* needed to have begun operating, which is *not* being suggested; or that the distances and the local density of matter at various radii from the center of expansion have been miscalculated! Otherwise, the fault, *if* a fault is truly so far involved, has been due either to *erroneous assumptions* on which the structure of the multiple level theories assumed to explain the operation of the universe is based; or to faulty conceptions on which the standing theories were constructed. Without *detailed reevaluation of all these matters*, the speed with which *additional propositions are being made* and the "findings" as to what truly is and happens out there *is* totally unwarranted.

Then only some days ago (today is Oct. 5, 2011) the news had come that neutrinos travel faster than light, which came as a great surprise. Why? Is it not to be expected that, as light also possesses corpuscular characteristics, given an *equal* impulse supplied to a "particle" and a "wave", it *is* to be expected that the *lighter* of the two *shall* travel faster? And is it not true that in a medium of higher refraction index, the *true* distance traveled *is* greater than that traveled in a medium of lower refraction index though of *equal measured* thickness? Doesn't our surprise reveal a rather poor understanding of the concept of "light"? If something is more lightweight and something else is radiative "light", why shouldn't the *more lightweight* of the two travel faster given an *equal* impulse? Why must we keep on ignoring the *dual* meaning of the word "light", that perhaps *not* so mysteriously is indeed there?

Then again, returning to the discussion of the proceedings in the universe, the notion of expansion unavoidably implies a constrained space (ultimately, ideally, a *point* in space) out of which expansion began. So, inescapably, a properly reasoning person in an expanding universe *must* consider the time the observed object took to reach *from the center of expansion* the point at which it was when it emitted the light the observer *now* detects it, and the time light took to reach the observer from that point; the sum of those two time intervals *cannot and may not* be other than the age of the universe at the time of observation! In no way does that prevent other bodies to have reached *farther away* than the point thus observed, from which those bodies also send away their own light. Thus inevitably, *all* points reached by objects taking longer to be reached *and* to send therefrom their light to a "*present*" time observer than the "present" age of the universe allows *shall be unobservable by him* at the "present" time, and thus must be considered as "*presently*" *unseeable*, not as "dark" matter (*whatever this term is made to mean*)! But these *hard facts* in *no way* may be regarded as altering the structure of the entire universe, that is determined under the *hard* laws to which it is subject; and *not* under the *theories in vogue*, that are being constantly *adjusted and reinterpreted* to be understood as "showing" this or that!

Do the universal laws change with, as *themselves* being functions of, the

universal age? As far as it is known, *nobody has ever suggested* something of this nature, and we must doubt that people can reasonably agree on how such a change can be written down mathematically! This *is entirely* different from the *structure of matter* that is designed to be subject to change *as a function of the universal age*. The universal laws *are there for all the time* (namely, the lump sum of time we, otherwise, *must* call the *entire* age of the universe), during and at the end of which the Creator alone shall determine that the universe has accomplished its mission! If He should leave it alone, the universe, under the laws set down for it to obey, shall keep on obeying them and *shall expand to infinity* (and infinite separation even between the fundamental particles) under the tandem laws of expansion *and* gravitation, as determined (by the dimensional analysis of the *totally unquestionable* latter law) to be operating together as shown in

<http://www.thefreepslibrary.edu.gr/01.%20%20PRINCIPIA%20PHYSICA%20UNIVERSI.pdf>.

Gravitation *only controls* the expansion, that develops as these two laws *together* determine the "evolution" of the universe.

As even *all laws are effects of their causes*, i.e., effects of their lawgivers, between 1687 and *at least* 1916 *nobody* seems to have wondered seriously and committedly about the nature of the cause, the lawgiver, of the law of gravitation, except as only Newton himself ever so lightly touched that subject within his "*Philosophiae Naturalis Principia Mathematica*"! So, in the latter year, Einstein presented his theory of general relativity, (see http://www.physik.uni-augsburg.de/annalen/history/einstein-papers/1916_49_769-822.pdf), in which, on page 777, he stated:"...

§ 4. Beziehung der vier Koordinaten zu räumlichen und zeitlichen Meßergebnissen.

Analytischer Ausdruck für das Gravitationsfeld.

Es kommt mir in dieser Abhandlung nicht darauf an, die allgemeine Relativitätstheorie als ein möglichst einfaches logisches System mit einem Minimum von Axiomen darzustellen. Sondern es ist mein Hauptziel, diese Theorie so zu entwickeln, daß der Leser die psychologische Natürlichkeit des eingeschlagenen Weges empfindet und daß die zugrunde gelegten Voraussetzungen durch die Erfahrung möglichst gesichert erscheinen. In diesem Sinne bei nun die Voraussetzung eingeführt: ...",

and thus attempted to subject the entire universe to such constraints "... that the reader will feel that the path we have entered upon is *psychologically* the natural one, and that the underlying assumptions will *seem* to have the highest possible degree of security. ...", as stated [with emphases here added] in the Dover edition of 1952 [of an unabridged and unaltered republication of the 1923 English translation published by Methuen & Company Ltd], following a "special arrangement with Methuen and Company and Albert Einstein"; Standard Book Number: 486-60081-5.

One is duty bound to wonder how and by whom one is licensed to regard as one's own and every reader's the right to think and speak of the universe in the light of one's own *psychological* sentiments, especially considering that they are not even constant, rather than based on rock-solid and hard natural facts!? One is, therefore, duty bound to wonder how and why there has not been even one in ninety five years to have taken the scientific "establishment" to task for just the issue of the *psychological* factor, *unless* that "establishment" is so close-knit and so powerful that it so far has managed to silence *all* dissenting voices! If indeed so, *all* its members *cannot* be *honest* seekers of the Truth! *All* those who ever objected must

have been cast out and successfully prevented from voicing publicly their objections, reservations and dissent, without their names ever being mentioned! In light of which, practically all that is being said about the universe by the "establishment", but stands beyond the *solid* grasp of our own healthy bodily and *sane and honest* mental fist *must* be taken with *total* reservation!

When "psychology" is accepted as a reasonable and respectable parameter in physics, *no* hard physical *science* can be made, but only "science", that could only have led us to where we all now stand all over the world!!! The present-day "scientific" establishment *must* be made to tell us *unequivocally* whether it considers Newton's Law of Gravitation to be *unquestionably absolute*, or itself also relative; in which case, relative to *what*? And again, whether *that* what is itself absolute or relative! For, surely, we *cannot* have an infinite regress of things *all relative*! The pre-Einsteinian notion of relativity *always* referred *impliedly* back to something *unquestionably absolute*! Do they seriously intend to tell us that the Einsteinian notion of relativity implies *total physical and thus also intellectual uncertainty about everything*? If so, by what license did Einstein eventually object to quantum mechanics??? And then, by *what* right indeed does the "scientific" establishment (selling us what we the "uninitiated" to its *unconfessed* secrets take as truly being hard science) feed so voraciously on society, by *them* led *without a chart and compass* to venture not just at high seas but at an incredibly vast and violent ocean in which, *thus axiomatically*, there is *absolutely no* hope of haven??? If so, then, *there is definitely something very rotten indeed* in our state of "Denmark"!!! So:

WANTED:
THREE COMPETENT
ADVOCATES
ONE TO EACH
AND ALL TOGETHER
TO DEFEND
TODAY'S
"THEOLOGY",
"PHILOSOPHY",
AND
"SCIENCE"
!!!

INESCAPABLE CONSEQUENCES OF DEFECTIVE REASONING

BY: GEORGE P. STAVROPOULOS

Following all my earlier efforts to put on the public record my thoughts on the *total* Universal physical, intellectual and Spiritual Reality that I have long considered to have been *consciously* ignored as a *complete in itself challenge before us* by all present-day so-called specialists in Theology, Philosophy, and Science, I thought it to be necessary to produce a brief closing Epilogue touching on the unseeable, unless they are deliberately sought, internal connections of the total Universal Reality that looks straight at us in the eyes; before which, cowering on account of unspeakable sins, both adults and old, but not in the least senile, men stubbornly as children ill-bred avert their eyes!

Deliberately, my focus here has *not* been in the consequences themselves, that *are* plainly evident to all that have the least courage needed to see *all* that is truly going on. It, instead, has been in connecting to the Ultimate Beginning, the neglect and stubborn ignorance of which, being enacted *not without all too conscious malice aforethought and insistent deliberation of objectives*, has led to those consequences. The objectives sought *would otherwise have remained in the abyss they truly belong, had all men honored their true Greek name as "ἄνθρωποι"*! Alas! It has been in pursuit of *those objectives that men have been persuaded that they are "human"*, mere products of the ground, the humid humus, in Greek called ὑγρὸν χῶμα! Indeed, *what* may you expect from "humanity" and "humanism", given *that* etymology of these names?! The aristocrats act aristocratically in honor of their lineage. So, too, it also is that the plebeians consider it an honor to be called "human"! Such, in *pursuit of abyssal objectives*, having no ἀνθρωπίνην συνείδησιν (i.e., a conscience tuned to Heaven) have, alas, come to the top, *crawling!* So it is that they do *not* see it as their sacred duty to elevate mankind ever higher! So, instead, *do they suck the nourishing juices both of the earth and of the society!* So it is that you may *not* expect to be lifted up by them! Heaven is *not* where *they* can thrive, the *humid humus* is, and there do they *purposefully* intend to keep you, in order to keep on sucking you! This is why *they*, despite appearances, pretentious solemnity, highfaluting language and all sorts of ostentatiousness, have made-turned themselves to irreconcilable enemies of God, the Ultimate Cause of Good, and they turn green on hearing His Name, especially in connection to *His being the Ultimate Cause of everything* that falls under their own *seeming* "expertise"; despite which, they *consciously* do nothing to avert the consequences *they* have brought upon us all!

So, in *all* your doings and *all* your *seeming* non-doings with them, for your own sacred Good my Reader, remember the Latin caveat emptor!

20pp., 63/8" x 93/8".
Athens, October 2011.

ISBN: 978-960-9624-01-5.